

# Performance of goat (*Capra hircus*) fed with Napier Grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) as Affected by Processing and Supplementation

Anabel J. Intong<sup>1</sup>, Elmar M. Patiga<sup>2, 3</sup>, Melvin Rubes

<sup>1,3</sup> Bohol Island State University, <sup>2</sup> Samal Island City College

[intonganabel@gmail.com](mailto:intonganabel@gmail.com)<sup>1</sup>, [elmarpatiga@gmail.com](mailto:elmarpatiga@gmail.com)<sup>2</sup>, [rubesmelvin@gmail.com](mailto:rubesmelvin@gmail.com)<sup>3</sup>

## Article Details:

Received: 02 February 2026

Revised: 15 February 2026

Accepted: 28 February 2026

Published: 04 March 2026

Corresponding Email:

[elmarpatiga@gmail.com](mailto:elmarpatiga@gmail.com)

## Recommended Citation:

Intong A.J., Patiga E.M., Rubes M. (2026). Performance of goat (*Capra hircus*) fed with napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) as affected by varying levels of supplementation. The International Review of Multidisciplinary Research, 1 (3), 26-33.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18859755>

## Index Terms:

ad libitum, In vivo digestibility, substrates, ureamolasses silage, supplementation, silage

**Abstract.** This study was conducted to determine the appropriate basal diet and supplementation strategy and to evaluate the effects of different supplements on voluntary feed intake and nutrient digestibility of Napier grass offered as silage and silage. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with four blocks based on sex-period combinations, arranged in a 2 × 3 factorial treatment structure. Factor A consisted of two basal forage types: Napier grass urea-molasses silage and Napier grass silage, while Factor B involved three supplementation strategies expressed as concentrate to mushroom substrate ratios (% body weight, dry matter basis): 1.0:0 (pure concentrate), 0.75:0.5, and 0.5:0.75. Each treatment was replicated four times. Experimental animals were subjected to a 30-day feeding period per block to assess voluntary intake and nutrient digestibility. Data collected were analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and treatment means were compared using the Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test. Results showed that Napier grass offered in silage form exhibited comparable voluntary feed intake and nutrient digestibility to Napier grass silage. Furthermore, supplementation using mushroom substrates combined with concentrate at ratios of 0.75:0.5 or 0.5:0.75 % body weight (dry matter basis) produced intake and digestibility responses comparable to those observed in animals receiving pure concentrate supplementation at 1.25 % body weight. These findings indicate that mushroom substrates can effectively replace a portion of concentrate without compromising animal performance. The study highlights the potential of integrating mushroom substrates as an alternative supplement to improve feed efficiency and reduce reliance on conventional concentrate feeds.

## Introduction

In the Philippines, ruminant production particularly smallholder goat farming relies heavily on native pasture grasses and crop residues that are generally low in digestibility and nutritive value, especially during the dry season (Patiga et al. 2025; Patiga et al., 2020; Babayemi & Bamikole, 2009). While forage availability improves during the rainy season, many native pastures rapidly become fibrous, seasonally scarce, and deficient in essential nutrients, thereby limiting rumen microbial activity and animal performance (Patiga et al., 2020; Sowande, 2004; Lamidi, 2009). During extended dry periods, farmers commonly utilize rice straw, corn stover, and low-quality rangeland grasses, which require appropriate management to sustain their feeding value (Patiga et al., 2020). Cogon grass (*Imperata cylindrica* L.), widely distributed in Philippine grasslands and marginal lands, serves as an important drought forage; however, its high lignin content restricts digestibility and voluntary intake (Samson & Capistrano, 1982).

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-9003-9899><sup>1</sup>, <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0207-4495><sup>2</sup>

© 2026 The International Review of Multidisciplinary Research. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

This article is subject to the journal's Corrections, Retractions, and Article Updates Policy, available at: <https://tinyurl.com/ysnr3356>

Despite the availability of diverse local feed resources, their effective utilization remains constrained by poor digestibility and intake, resulting in low productivity in smallholder systems (Patiga et al., 2020; Olafadehan & Adewumi, 2009). To address these limitations, supplementation with concentrates and higher-quality forages, along with chemical and biological treatments such as urea application and ensiling, has been employed to improve nutrient availability and intake (Patiga et al., 2020; Jackson, 1979; Wanapat et al., 2013).

However, limited information is available on the performance of goats fed poor-quality roughages improved through strategic supplementation under Philippine conditions. Thus, this study evaluated the performance of goats fed napier grass supplemented with varying levels of concentrates and mushroom substrates in a smallholder production setting.

## Methodology

### *Preparation of materials*

The materials used in the study were the following: experimental goats, chopping board, dagger, feeding and watering troughs, polyethylene bag (silage bag), and record book, weighing scale, ball pen, sharp knife, nail, Plywood, lumber, bamboo slats, broom and dust pan, and basal diets such as napier grass soilage and silage form.

### *Preparation of napier grass silage*

The napier grass was gathered from the pasture area about 90-110 days after the last cutting. The pasture area is located at Bohol Island State University Bilar Campus and Brgy. Canagong, Sikatuna Bohol. Napier grass was gathered early in the morning between 6:00 to 8:00 A.M. Freshly cut napier grass was properly wilted to 60-75% dry matter (DM) by air drying, and chopped into 2-3 cm long. Urea-molasses were used as silage additives to the napier grass silage. The parts for urea were computed based on its nitrogen content. Since molasses is five (5) times greater than that of urea, the part for molasses were multiplied 5 times to that of urea to get the proportion of urea and molasses at 2.1% of silage mass of the experimental forages before ensiling (Ubod and Bestil, 2018; Patiga et al. 2025; Patiga et al. 2020). The samples were compacted, thereafter. Air was withdrawn from the plastic pouches (silage bags) and the experimental forages were packed tightly. The silage samples were stored in a room with a temperature of about 27 to 30°C. After 21 days of anaerobic fermentation, the bags were opened for the feeding trial.

### *Formulation of concentrate mix*

Supplemental feeds were composed of 100 kg, a 14% CP component was formulated. The concentrate was composed of 37.5% cassava meal, 15% rice bran, 25% corn grits, soy bean meal 22% and .5% of salt and mushroom substrates. The daily DM requirement was based on the age and weight of the animal and the level of concentrate was given at 1.25 % body weight. The Supplemental feeds were purchased at a local market in Sikatuna, Bohol and the mushroom substrates were collected at Bohol Island State University – Mushroom Production Area, Bilar Campus.

### *Preparation of the mushroom substrates*

Mushroom Substrates were collected within the campus of the Bohol Island State University Bilar Campus, Bilar Bohol. All Substrates were collected from the mushroom production area. In preparing the substrates, these fodder air dried under the roof for five days and then grounded and stored in sacks. For proper storage and to avoid spoilage, the substrates were dried to 5-10% moisture content. The amount of substrates was needed to prepare one kilogram of mushroom substrate meal.

### *Preparation metabolism cages and experimental animals*

The metabolism crates or cage described by McCollum and Steenbock and by Forbes for swine were utilized for the small ruminants, with slight modifications, especially as to the size of the mesh of the wire screens used for the floor. Before the experiment started, the metabolism cages were cleaned and disinfected 7 days before the animals were placed. Twelve apparently healthy grower anglo-nubian goats that aged 3 months, weighing 20-25 kilograms body weight (BW), were used in this study.

They were divided into two blocks for the first run, the same goats were randomly assigned to the treatments used in the second run with another two blocks. Before the experiment started, the goats were dewormed with ivermectin and administered with multivitamins (B-Complex). The drugs were purchased from Agrivet store. The animals were placed individually in the metabolism cages that were equipped with individual feeder and waterers. The experimental goats were

allowed to adjust the surrounding/environment for one week. There was gradual shifting from previous diets to the treatment diets.

*Dietary treatments and experimental design*

This experiment utilized both napier grass soilage and napier grass silage. The study utilized the supplementation of concentrates and mushroom substrates meal. The experiment was Randomize Complete Block Design (RCBD) with a 2 x 3 factorial treatment design: factor A with two types of base forage (napier grass soilage and napier grass silage), and factor B with different types of supplementation, replicated four (4) times, with 4 blocks based on sex period combination as follows (Table 1):

Types of Forage (A)	Types of Supplementation (B)
A <sub>1</sub> – Napier Grass - Urea Molasses Silage	B <sub>1</sub> = Concentrate (1.25% BW, DM basis)
	B <sub>2</sub> = Concentrate and Mushroom Substrate (0.75:0.5% BW, DM basis)
	B <sub>3</sub> = Concentrate and Mushroom Substrate (0.5:75% BW, DM basis)
A <sub>2</sub> – Napier Grass - Soilage	B <sub>1</sub> = Concentrate (1.25% BW, DM basis)
	B <sub>2</sub> = Concentrate and Mushroom Substrate (0.75:0.5% BW, DM basis)
	B <sub>3</sub> = Concentrate and Mushroom Substrate (0.5:75% BW, DM basis)

Table 1. Dietary treatments and experimental design

Each animal was given concentrates once a day, at 1.25 % of their body weight (BW), basal diet. Napier grass soilage and urea-treated corn stover were given *ad libitum* at 6:00 in the morning and 4:00 in the afternoon. The experimental animals have free access to water at all times.

*Collection and measurements of fecal output*

Feces were collected manually from each animal throughout the day during the collection period and were kept in individual bags to avoid the loss of volatile nitrogen and contamination with dirt and urine. Feed offered and refused were recorded daily during the duration of the experiment. Refusals were removed and weighed before the morning feeding. During the digestibility trial, refusals were removed daily, weighed, sampled, and bulked in individual bags.

The total quantity of feces voided were weighed and recorded in every animal. About 10% feces were mixed every day from each animal. At the end of the collection period the fresh feces were compiled together and oven dried and were used for percent dry matter analysis.

*Recording of body weight*

The animals were weighed at the beginning of the experiment and at every week thereafter. All weighing were made at 6:00 in the morning.

*Chemical analysis*

Feed and fecal samples were analyzed for its dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM) contents according to the methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC 1990) at the Biodiversity, Environment and Natural Resources Research Center (BENRC) – Cebu Technological University Argao Campus, Argao Cebu, Philippines.

*Digestibility trial*

The following were the schedule of activities followed during the conduct of the study:

Day	Activity
1-5	Gradual shifting from previous diet to treatment diets Feeding Trial.
5- 25	Ad libitum feeding of basal diet (cogon grass silage and urea-treated corn stover) with supplemental concentrates and Ipil-Ipil leaf meal will be given at 1.25% of body weight, dry matter basis. Initial Weight measured at Day 5. Weekly monitoring of weight changes and Voluntary Feed Intake.

26-29	Digestibility Trial.
30-35	Reduce basal diet offering to 90% <i>Ad libitum</i> . Recording of fecal output, feed intake, sampling of feces and feeds for analysis in Day.

Table 2. Schedule of activities

*Data gathered*

## 1. Voluntary DM Intake

For concentrate and ipil-ipil legume leaf meal and urea-treated

$$\text{DMI} = \text{Feed Intake} \times \% \text{ Dry Matter of Feed}$$

For silage:

$$\text{DMI} = (\text{Feed Given} \times \% \text{DM of feed Given}) - (\text{Feed Refused} \times \% \text{DM of Feed Refused})$$

## 2. Dry Matter Degradation (DMD)

All samples including the treatments were first subjected in the oven with 100 °C for 24 hours to get its dry matter value that can be used for the following computations. Dry matter digestibility of the basal diet which is the corn stover and cogon grass silage will be determined using the following formula:

$$\text{DMD} (\%) = \frac{(\text{Dry Matter Intake} - \text{Dry Matter Excreted})}{\text{Dry Matter Intake}} \times 100$$

Where:

$$\text{DM Excreted} = \text{Fecal Output} \times \% \text{ Dry Matter of Feces}$$

## 3. Organic Matter Intake

$$\text{OMI} = \text{Dry Matter Intake} \times \% \text{ Organic Matter of Feed}$$

Where: % OM = 100 – % Ash

## 4. Organic Matter Digestibility

$$\text{OMD} (\%) = \frac{\text{Organic Matter Intake} - \text{Organic Matter Excreted}}{(\text{Organic Matter Intake})} \times 100$$

Where: Organic Matter Excreted = Fecal DM Output x % Organic of Feces

*Analysis of data*

Data were analyzed by two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for a Randomized Complete Block Design, and comparison of treatment means was done using Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) Test with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 software.

**Results and Discussion***Dry matter intake and digestibility*

**Table 3** presents the dry matter intake (DMI) and dry matter digestibility of goats fed basal diets of Napier grass silage and silage with varying concentrate levels. No significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed among forage types and supplementation treatments, indicating comparable effects on intake and digestibility. The highest DMI was recorded in goats fed Napier grass silage supplemented with 0.75% concentrate and 0.5% mushroom substrate, suggesting that higher concentrate inclusion with lower substrate levels enhances intake. These findings are in consonance with previous reports showing improved digestibility and energy values in silages supplemented with mushroom substrates through enhanced nutrient availability, particularly nitrogen for rumen microbial activity (Sruamsiri et al., 2007). Mushroom substrate meal has been reported to support feed intake and animal performance due to its favorable palatability and nutrient composition (Akingbade et al., 2002; 2004; Kanani et al., 2006). However, its replacement value remains limited in diets formulated with high-quality protein sources such as soybean and fish meal (Tangendjaja et al., 1990).

TREATMENTS	DMI (g)	DMI (%BW)	DMD (%)
Factor A (Types of Diet)			
A <sub>1</sub> - Napier Grass Silage	488	5.05	31.49
A <sub>2</sub> - Napier Grass Soilage	442	5.38	29.86
<i>p-value</i>	0.06 <sup>ns</sup>	0.650 <sup>ns</sup>	0.598 <sup>ns</sup>
Factor B (Types of supplementation)			
B <sub>1</sub> - Concentrate (1.25% BW, DM basis)	344	4.01	19.23
B <sub>2</sub> - Concentrate: MSM (0.75:0.5% BW, DM basis)	373	4.43	22.37
B <sub>3</sub> - Concentrate: MSM (0.5:0.75% BW, DM basis)	362	3.97	21.93
<i>p-value</i>	0.827 <sup>ns</sup>	0.323 <sup>ns</sup>	0.118 <sup>ns</sup>
Interaction Factor AxB			
<i>p-value</i>	0.294 <sup>ns</sup>	0.316 <sup>ns</sup>	0.110 <sup>ns</sup>

<sup>ns</sup> Not significant

Table 3. Dry matter intake (DMI) and dry matter digestibility (DMD) of napier grass soilage and silage with varying types of supplementations in goats.

Organic matter intake and digestibility

Table 4 presents the effects of dietary treatments on organic matter (OM) digestibility, which reflects the proportion of organic matter apparently digested in the ruminant gastrointestinal tract and is used to estimate energy availability and microbial protein synthesis (Anam et al., 2017). No significant differences were observed among treatments ( $p > 0.05$ ), indicating comparable responses. Supplementation of low-quality roughages with protein and energy sources is recommended to support intake and nutrient utilization (Jackson, 1979; Patiga et al., 2020). Inclusion of protein or non-protein nitrogen (NPN), such as urea, enhances rumen fermentation and nutrient digestibility (McGuire et al., 2013; Khattab et al., 2013; Cappelozza et al., 2013; Sweeny et al., 2014; Benedeti et al., 2014; Holder et al., 2015; Kang et al., 2015; Ampapon et al., 2016). Urea-treated maize stover exhibits higher crude protein content due to increased microbial biomass (Elias and Fulpagare, 2015), and the combined use of treated roughages, leguminous forages, and commercial concentrates presents a practical approach to improve protein supply and support sustainable goat production.

TREATMENTS	OMI (g)	OMD (%)
Factor A (Types of Diet)		
A <sub>1</sub> - Napier Grass Silage	532	63.57
A <sub>2</sub> - Napier Grass Soilage	421	66.09
<i>p-value</i>	0.06 <sup>ns</sup>	0.616 <sup>ns</sup>
Factor B (Types of supplementations)		
B <sub>1</sub> - Concentrate (1.25% BW, DM basis)	375	85.31
B <sub>2</sub> - Concentrate: MSM (0.75:0.5% BW, DM basis)	404	82.67
B <sub>3</sub> - Concentrate: MSM (0.5:0.75% BW, DM basis)	398	83.50
<i>p-value</i>	0.890 <sup>ns</sup>	0.117 <sup>ns</sup>
Interaction Factor A x B		
<i>p-value</i>	0.293 <sup>ns</sup>	0.085 <sup>ns</sup>

<sup>ns</sup> Not significant

Table 4. Organic matter intake (OMI) and Organic matter digestibility (OMD) of napier grass silage and napier grass soilage with varying types of supplementations in goats

## Conclusion and Implications

This study established that napier grass ensiled as a basal roughage is nutritionally comparable to napier grass silage in terms of voluntary feed intake and nutrient digestibility. In addition, supplementing either Napier grass silage or Napier grass silage with a mixture of concentrate and mushroom substrate meal at ratios of 0.75:0.5 or 0.5:0.75 % body weight (dry matter basis) resulted in intake and digestibility responses similar to those obtained with an all-concentrate supplement at 1.25 % body weight. These outcomes confirm that alternative forage processing methods and partial replacement of concentrate with mushroom substrate do not adversely affect feed utilization.

Given these results, integrating Napier grass silage with a combined concentrate–mushroom substrate supplement presents a feasible and economical feeding option for ruminant production systems. The comparable performance observed between mixed supplements and all-concentrate feeding underscores the potential for reducing concentrate use and overall feed costs. Moreover, the utilization of mushroom substrate meal supports the efficient recycling of agro-industrial residues, contributing to more sustainable livestock production. Adoption of this feeding strategy can improve farm profitability while maintaining adequate animal nutrition, particularly under tropical conditions where conventional feed resources are costly or limited.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the colleagues and institutions who provided guidance, feedback, and support throughout the conduct of this research and the preparation of this manuscript.

## Funding

This research received no external funding from any public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agency, and no organization provided financial support for the conduct of the study, authorship, or publication of this article.

## Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this article.

## Data Availability Statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study; all data used were obtained from previously published sources as cited in the reference list.

## References

- Ampapon T., Wanapat M., and Kang S. 2016. Rumen metabolism of swamp buffaloes fed rice straw supplemented with cassava hay and urea. *Trop Anim Health Prod* (2016) 48:779–784.
- Anam M. Lucia T.S., Soelih Estoepangestie A.T., and Lamid M. 2017. The Nutrients Contents, Dry Matter Digestibility, Organic Matter Digestibility, Total Digestible Nutrient, and NH<sub>3</sub> Rumen Production of Three Kinds of Cattle Feeding Models. Animal Husbandry Department, Veterinary Medicine Faculty, Airlangga University, Veterinary Parasitology Department, Veterinary Medicine Faculty, Airlangga University and Veterinary Public Health Department, Veterinary Medicine Faculty, Airlangga University. *KnE Life Sciences | The Veterinary Medicine International Conference (VMIC)* | pages: 338-343.
- Akingbade A. A., Nsahlai, I. V., and Morris, C. D. 2002. The effects of *Leucaena leucocephala* on semen quality, fertility and reproductive performance of dihydroxy pyridone-adapted South African Nguni goats. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 139(2), 205-211.
- Akingbade, A. A., Nsahlai, I. V., and Morris, C. D. (2004). Reproductive performance, colostrum and milk constituents of mimosine-adapted South African Nguni goats on *Leucaena leucocephala*-grass or natural pastures. *Small Ruminant Research*, 52(3), 253-260.
- Benedeti P.D.B., Paulino P.V.R., Marcondes M.I., Valadares Filho, S.C., Martins, T.S., Lisboa, E.F., Silva, L.H.P., Teixeira, C.R.V. and Duarte, M.S., 2014. Soybean meal replaced by slow release urea in finishing diets for beef cattle. *Livestock Science*, 165, 51–60.

- Cappelozza B.I., Bohnert D.W., Schauer C.S., Falck S.J., Vanzant E.S., Harmon D.L. And Cooke, R.F., 2013. Daily and alternate day supplementation of urea or soybean meal to ruminants consuming low-quality cool-season forage: II. Effects on ruminal fermentation. *Livestock Science*, 155, 214-222.
- Elias S.T., And Fulpagare Y.G. 2015. Effects of urea treated maize stover silage on growth performance of crossbred heifers. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)* e-ISSN: 2319-2380, p-ISSN: 2319-2372. Volume 8, Issue 5 Ver. I (May. 2015), PP 58-62. [www.iosrjournals.org](http://www.iosrjournals.org).
- Haemasaton T, Mungmee N (2021) Effect of fermented napier grass mixed with acid fermented milk diet on Nile tilapia in cage culture. *AJSTR* 24(2):49–57. <https://doi.org/10.55164/ajstr.v24i2.241495>
- Holder V.B., Tricarico J.M., Kim D.H., Kristensen N.B. And Harmon D.L., 2015. The effects of degradable nitrogen level and slow release urea on nitrogen balance and urea kinetics in Holstein steers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 200, 57–65.
- Holm L.G., Pucknett D.L., Pancho J.B., And Herberger J.P. 1977. *The World's Worst Weeds. Distribution and Biology*. Univ. Press of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI.
- Humphreys, L.R. 1984. *Tropical Pastures and Fodder Crops*. Longman Group U.K. Ltd. Longman House, Burnt Mill, Harlow Essex England. 155p.
- Jackson M.G. 1979. Rice straw as livestock feed. In: *Ruminant nutrition, World Animal Review*: 34-40. FAO, Rome.
- Kanani J., Lukefahr S.D., And Stanko R.L. 2006. Evaluation of tropical forage legumes (*Medicago sativa*, *Dolichos lablab*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Desmanthus bicornutus*) for growing goats. *Small Ruminant Research*, 65(1), 1-7.
- Kang S., Wanapat M., Phesatcha K. And Norrapoke T., 2015. Effect of protein level and urea in concentrate mixture on feed intake and rumen fermentation in swamp buffaloes fed rice straw-based diet. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 47, 671–679.
- Khandaker Z.H., Uddin M.M., Sultana M.N. And Peters K.J. 2012. Effect of supplementation of mustard oil cake on intake, digestibility and microbial protein synthesis of cattle in a straw-based diet in Bangladesh. *Trop Anim Health Prod.*; pp.791–800.
- Khattab H.M., Gado H.M., Salem A.Z.M., Camacho L.M., El-Sayed M.M., Kholif A.M., El-Shewy A.A. And Kholif A.E. 2014. Chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of *Pleurotus ostreatus* spent rice straw. *Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology*, 13: 507-516.
- Khattab, I.M., Salem, A.Z.M., Abdel-Wahed, A.M. And Kewan, K.Z. 2013. Effects of urea supplementation on nutrient digestibility, nitrogen utilization and rumen fermentation in sheep fed diets containing dates. *Livestock Science*, 155, 223-229.
- Kleij D. And Scharer H. M. 2006. The relation between unpalatable species, nutrient and plant species richness in Swiss Montane pastures. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 15(12): 3971- 3982.
- McDonald A.A., Edwards R.A., Greenhalgh J.F.D. And Morgan C.A. 2002. *Animal Nutrition*. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson Education.
- Mcguire D.L., Bohnert D.W., Schauer C.S., Falck S.J. And Cooke R.F., 2013. Daily and alternate day supplementation of urea or soybean meal to ruminants consuming low-quality cool-season forage: I—Effects on efficiency of nitrogen use and nutrient digestion. *Livestock Science*, 155, 205-213.
- Nguyen V.N., Wanapat M., Khejornsart P. And Kongmun P. 2012. Nutrient digestibility and ruminal fermentation characteristic in swamp buffaloes fed on chemically treated rice straw and urea. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* 2012; 44:629–636.
- Oji U.I. And Mowat, D.M. 1979. Nutritive value of thermo-ammoniated and steam-treated maize stover. 1. Intake, digestibility and nitrogen retention. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 4 (1979) 177ñ186.
- Patiga E.M., Bestil L.C., Mondejar H.P. (2020). In Situ Digestibility of Cogon Grass (*Imperata cylindrica* L.) in Various Forms and Harvesting Intervals in Rumen-Fistulated Brahman Cattle , *Mindanao Journal of Science and Technology: Vol. 18 No. 2 (2020)*. <https://mjst.ustp.edu.ph/index.php/mjst/article/view/536>
- Patiga E.M., Mondejar H.P., Intong A.J. (2026). Effects Of Urea-Molasses, Maize Bran, And Ipil-Ipil Leaf Meal On The Quality Of Cogon Grass Silage At Different Harvesting Intervals, *Mindanao Journal of Science and Technology: Vol. 22 No. 2*. <https://doi.org/10.61310/mjst.v22i2.2183>
- Samson B.K. And Capistrano A.D.N., 1982. Cogon (*Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Beauv.) for cattle feeding: for export - an ecological and economic evaluation Philippines. University of the Philippines at Los Banos, College, Laguna. Program on Environmental Science and Management
- Shrestha M, Yadav C (1998) Feeding of Napier (*Pennisetum purpureum*) to grass carp in polyculture: a sustainable fish culture practice for small farmers. *Asian Fish Sci* 11:287–294. <https://doi.org/10.33997/j.afs.1998.11.3-4.012>
- Sruamsiri S., Silman P., And Srinuch W. 2007. Agro-industrial by-products as roughage source for beef cattle: Chemical composition, nutrient digestibility and energy values of ensiled sweet corn cob and husk with different levels of Ipil – Ipil leaves. *Maejo International Journal of Science and Technology*. 2007, 01, 88-9.
- Sweeny J.P.A., SurrIDGE V., Humphry P.S., Pugh H. And Mamo K., 2014. Benefits of different urea supplementation methods on the production performances of Merino sheep. *Veterinary Journal*, 200, 398–403.
- Tangendjaja B., Rahardjo Y.C And Lowry J.B., 1990. *Leucaena* leaf meal in the diet of growing rabbits: evaluation and effect of a low-mimosine treatment. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 29: 63-72.

- Tongmee B, Tongsiri S, Unpaprom Y, Ramaraj R, Whangchai K, Pugazhendhi A, Whangchai N (2021) Sustainable development of feed formulation for farmed tilapia enriched with fermented pig manure to reduce production costs. *Sci Total Environ* 801:149614. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149614>
- Ubod R.Z. And Bestil L.C. 2018. Effects of varying types of base forage and additive on the nutritional and physico-chemical properties of napier (*Pennisetum Purpureum* Sch.) and cogon (*Imperata Cylindrica* L.) silages. M.S. Thesis. Department of Animal Science. Visayas State University, Visca Baybay City, Leyte Philippines.
- Wanapat M., Pilajun R., Kang S., Setyaningsih K., And Setyawan A.R. 2012. Effect of ground corn cob replacement for cassava chip on feed intake, rumen fermentation and urinary derivatives in swamp buffaloes. *Asian-Aust. J Anim Sci*; Pp.1124–1131.
- Wanapat M. 2000. Rumen manipulation to increase the efficient use of local feed resources and productivity of ruminants in the tropics. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*Pp. 59–67.
- Wanapat M., Polyorach S., Boonnop K., Mapato C. And Cherdthong A. 2009. Effects of treating rice straw with urea or urea and calcium hydroxide upon intake, digestibility, rumen fermentation and milk yield of dairy cows. *Livest Sci.* Pp.238–243.
- Wanapat M., Sundstøl F. And Garmo T.H. 1985. A comparison of alkali treatment methods to improve the nutritive value of straw. I. Digestibility and metabolizability. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.*; Pp. 295–309.

## Appendices

No appendices are included in this article.