

EVALUATION CHECKLIST

Directions: Please evaluate the design and aesthetics, materials, portability, functionality, safety, and usability of an Eco-Friendly Dehydration Device. Put a checkmark on the cell corresponding to your most felt response to the description.

Scoring guide for the Design and Aesthetics of the eco-friendly dehydration device

Numerical Value	Interpretation	Verbal Interpretation
4	Excellent	The device has an outstanding design and is visually appealing, minimalist, comfortable, and user-friendly. The aesthetics enhance usability and functionality and are highly polished with excellent attention to detail.
3	Good	The device has a well-thought-out design, a pleasing appearance, and is user-friendly, but it needs minor refinement to improve its aesthetics and user experience.
2	Fair	The device has a working design but lacks aesthetic appeal or other functionality considerations. Improvements are needed to enhance its appearance and ease of use, such as refinement of polish, strong design cohesion, and noticeable flaws or inconsistencies.
1	Poor	The device is unattractive or impractical, making it difficult or unserviceable. Significant redesign is necessary. Refinement to improve aesthetics and design is needed such as visual appeal and coherence, noticeable flaws, and attention to details

1. Design and Aesthetics

STATEMENT	Scale			
	4	3	2	1
1. The device has a smooth, modern, and professional design with attention to detail.				
2. Visually appealing with a well-balanced color scheme and finish.				
3. It fits the functional and aesthetic needs of the design.				
4. Includes the desired look, feel and overall design quality.				
5. Designed with user comfort in mind, spontaneous controls, easy handling, and minimal strain.				
6. Buttons, dials, and displays are logically placed.				
7. Resistant to heat, moisture, and wear.				
8. The device is compact, and easy to store/move and transport without sacrificing performance				
9. Unique design elements set the device apart from competitors.				
10. Thoughtful innovation in aesthetics and function.				
11. Well-designed structure that ensures safe operation.				
12. Stable base, well-insulated components, and proper venting.				
13. Controls are seamlessly integrated into the design.				
14. The display is clear, modern, and easy to read.				

Scoring guide for the Materials of the eco-friendly dehydration device

Numerical Value	Interpretation	Verbal Interpretation
4	Very Good	Exceed all expectations and standards of the device. It has outstanding quality offering superior durability and refined finish. There is a high level of confidence in the selection of the materials.
3	Good	Meet all expectations and standards for the device. It has adequate durability and aesthetics suitable for the intended purpose. No major concerns.
2	Fair	Meet certain expectations and standards for the device. They are functional but lack durability or quality. Some aspects meet expectations but noticeable weaknesses exist. Show potential but require refinement to meet higher standards.

1	Poor	Behind the expectations and standards for the device. It has low quality and compromises the device's durability and performance, and non-durable construction that leads to premature wear or malfunction.
---	------	---

2. Materials

STATEMENT	Scale			
	4	3	2	1
1. Materials are durable, reliable, high-quality, and meet required standards that enhance the life span of its performance.				
2. Materials are appropriate for their intended purposes and context.				
3. Materials are eco-friendly and sourced responsibly.				
4. It has a low environmental impact during production and use.				
5. It is recyclable and/or biodegradable.				
6. Materials are readily available or can be sourced without delays				
7. Materials are safe to use and meet health and safety standards.				
8. Non-toxic and free from hazardous substances.				
9. Materials contribute positively to visual or sensory aspects of the products.				
10. High-quality, durable materials that enhance functionality and longevity.				
11. Materials are easy to handle, process or implement.				
12. Materials perform well under the intended condition of use.				
13. Maintain integrity under stress, temperature, or other environmental factors.				
14. Materials are easy to clean, non-stick, and resistant to stains and odors.				

Scoring guide for the Portability of the eco-friendly dehydration device

Numerical Value	Interpretation	Verbal Interpretation
4	Very Portable	The device is lightweight and compact making it easy to use, carry, and transfer/transport without supervision. It is designed for effortless mobility, fitting well in various spaces without hassle. It has a handle, stackable trays, collapsable features, or a streamlined design.
3	Portable	The device is reasonably lightweight and can be moved, transferred and used with some effort. It is compact enough for easy storage and occasional transport. It has handles or detachable parts for mobility. Suitable for use but requires some effort for frequent relocation. It is not travel-friendly.
2	Slightly Portable	Below expectations and standards of the machine. It is bulky or heavy making it somewhat difficult to use and move. Lacks of convenient portability features like handles or a compact design. It takes significant space making storage and transport a challenge.
1	Not Portable	Major reconstruction of the device needed. It is heavy and bulky making the movement difficult and impractical. It is designed for stationary use. Lacks features like handles or compact designs for mobility, it requires significant effort to relocate..

3. Portability

STATEMENT	Scale			
	4	3	2	1
1. The device is appropriately sized and lightweight for easy transport.				
2. The device is compact and easy to carry.				
3. The weight is manageable for extended use or movement.				
4. The device can withstand travel-related impacts without damage				
5. The device is designed to be easy to handle and carry.				

6.	Has a handle, strap, or ergonomic design for comfortable transportation.				
7.	Users can carry it with minimal discomfort.				
8.	The device can operate on multiple power sources (e.g., battery, solar, standard plug) for versatile use.				
9.	The device includes features that enhance portability, such as folding, collapsible, and detachable parts				
10.	Users find the device convenient and practical for use in various locations and situations.				

Scoring guide for the Functionality of the eco-friendly dehydration device

4. Functionality

Numerical Value	Interpretation	Verbal Interpretation
4	Very Functional	Efficiently meets standard and implied needs and no weaknesses are found in the device. It is highly efficient in drying food. It has well-designed controls and features to enhance the ease of use. Operates smoothly with minimal adjustment needed. Versatile, accommodating a wide range of foods with optimal performance. Reliable and well-built.
3	Functional	Satisfactorily meets the standard and implied needs but acceptable tolerable weaknesses are found in the device. Dehydrate foods effectively with some adjustments for best results. Basic controls and features function as expected but lack advanced capabilities. Reliable for general use but may be the most efficient or user-friendly
2	Slightly Functional	Meets the expectations and standards of the machine however it performs its basic functions with noticeable inefficiencies or inconsistencies, and minor weaknesses. Could not dehydrate food evenly requiring frequent adjustment. Controls or settings are difficult to use or lack precision. It takes longer than expected to achieve the desired dehydration results.
1	Not Functional	Meets some expectations and standards of the machine and major weaknesses are found that may greatly affect its function. Does not properly dehydrate food. There is significant issues with heating, airflow or controls that prevent proper operation. Requires frequent troubleshooting.

STATEMENT	Scale			
	4	3	2	1
1. The device performs dehydration of food quickly, evenly, effectively and reliably.				

2.	The device can complete the task without issues.				
3.	No malfunction or failure during typical use.				
4.	The device has precise and adjustable temperature settings, allowing optimal dehydration for various foods.				
5.	The device can handle a variety of foods with multiple trays, expandable options, and flexible settings.				
6.	Uses minimal energy while maintaining excellent drying performance. .				
7.	Cost-effective operation				
8.	The device includes all necessary features for the intended function.				
9.	No major missing features that hinder performance.				
10.	The device Includes auto shut-off, overheat protection, and sturdy construction to prevent hazards.				
11.	Well-designed airflow system ensures even drying without overheating or cold spots.				

Scoring guide for the Safety of the eco-friendly dehydration device

Numerical Value	Interpretation	Verbal Interpretation
4	Very Safe	The use of the device is safe from any hazards. It is designed with high safety standards, minimizing the risk of burns, electrical hazards, or malfunctions. It has reliable features for overheating, protection, and security. It has stable construction to ensure safe operation. It has user-friendly safety mechanisms, such as auto shut-off and others to enhance protection. It meets or exceeds industry safety regulations, providing confidence in long-term use.
3	Safe	The use of the device satisfactorily meets the standard safety requirements but lacks advanced protective features. Operates without significant risk when used. Includes basic safety features such as heat-resistant materials. It has a minimum risk of burns, electrical hazards, or mechanical failures under normal conditions. Reliable for regular use with extra caution with prolonged operation.
2	Slightly Safe	The device has safety measures but presents some risks during operation. The use of the device is not quite safe from hazards. Have minor design flaws leading to overheating or accidental burns. Lacks advanced safety features like automatic shut-off or insulation. Requires careful handling to avoid potential hazards.
1	Not safe	The device is not safe to use. Poses significant safety risks such as overheating, electrical hazards, or unstable construction. It lacks essential safety features. Material or design flaws create a high-risk environment during operation. Fails to meet the standard safety regulations.

5. Safety

STATEMENT	Scale			
	4	3	2	1
1. The device prevents burns or overheating injuries during operations.				
2. The device has safe temperature limits.				
3. The device's electrical components are insulated, and there is no risk of electrical shock.				
4. There is proper grounding, circuit protection, and insulation.				
5. Meets electrical safety standards.				
6. The materials used in the device are non-toxic, durable, and suitable for high temperatures.				
7. The device includes an emergency shutoff feature to prevent accidents.				
8. Automatic shutdown occurs in case of malfunction or unsafe condition.				
9. The device includes clear, concrete safety instructions and warnings.				
10. Safety warnings are clearly visible and easy to understand.				

11. The user's manual includes detailed instructions on safety operations.				
12. Sturdy design with a stable base, non-slip feet, and durable construction to prevent tipping				
13. Made with food-safe, BPA-free, non-toxic materials that do not release harmful chemicals when heated.				
14. Features such as cool-touch surfaces, secure door locks, and clear safety labels prevent injuries.				

Scoring guide for the Usability of the eco-friendly dehydration device

Numerical Value	Interpretation	Verbal Interpretation
4	Very Usable	Has a highly in-built design that allows effortless operation. User-friendly controls such as temperature control, function, and timer with clear instructions make its easy to use. The well-designed layout and features enhance convenience and efficiency with smooth and hassle-free functionality.
3	Usable	It operates with a reasonable level of ease as expected. Basic controls and functions such as temperature control, timer, and others are understandable, such that the users can easily perform their appropriate needs with minimal guidance or supervision.
2	Slightly Usable	Usable but not in-built making it slightly difficult to operate the temperature control, function, and timer of the device, such that the users cannot easily perform their corresponding needs. Control or settings are confusing or lack instruction. Designs hinder ease of use such as difficulty in accessing trays or other features. There is a struggle in setting up, operation, and cleaning.
1	Not Usable	Difficulty or impossibility to operate effectively. Control settings, instruction are unclear. Design flaws severely hinder functionality. The desired result is unable to achieve due to poor usability.

6. Usability

STATEMENT	Scale			
	4	3	2	1
1. The device can perform dehydration tasks quickly and effectively with consistent results within a reasonable time and instructions.				
2. Users do not need to constantly adjust the settings to achieve good results				
3. Users can operate the device without extensive learning and frequent assistance,				
4. The device is easy, to access and interact with by users with varying abilities and needs.				
5. Buttons, controls, and trays are within easy reach (e.g. removal trays, easy-to-reach controls, movable drying frames).				
6. The device is easy to set up and get running with minimal instruction required.				
7. It can be ready for use quickly and with little effort.				
8. Easy to clean and maintain with removable trays and minimal effort needed to upkeep				
9. The device is simple to operate without confusion. It can also be operated without extensive training or manual.				
10. The controls are easy to understand and use even for the first-time user.				
11. It has a built-in feature to prevent misuse.				
12. The device uses indicators like lights or displays to show progress or alert users to changes.				